

GROWTH OF A CLASS OF ITERATION OF K-ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

DIBYENDU BANERJEE^{1,*}, SUMANTA GHOSH²

¹*Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan-731235, West Bengal, India*

²*Ranaghat P.C.High School, Ranaghat-741201, Nadia, West Bengal, India*

*Correspondence: dibyendu192@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper we generalise a result of Sun [7] to n-th iterations of k-entire functions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

For two entire functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ Lahiri and Banerjee [5] form the following iterations.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1(z) &= f(z) \\
 f_2(z) &= f(g(z)) = f(g_1(z)) \\
 f_3(z) &= f(g(f(z))) = f(g(f_1(z))) \\
 &\vdots \\
 f_n(z) &= f(g(f(g(\dots(f(z) \text{ or } g(z) \text{ according as } n \text{ is odd or even } \dots)))) \\
 &= f(g_{n-1}(z)) = f(g(f_{n-2}(z)))
 \end{aligned}$$

and so are

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1(z) &= g(z) \\
 g_2(z) &= g(f(z)) = g(f_1(z)) \\
 g_3(z) &= g(f_2(z)) = g(f(g_1(z))) \\
 &\vdots \\
 g_n(z) &= g(f_{n-1}(z)) = g(f(g_{n-2}(z))).
 \end{aligned}$$

Keywords: entire function, growth, iteration.

Clearly all $f_n(z)$ and $g_n(z)$ are entire functions.

Notation 1.1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions. Banerjee and Dutta [1] used the notations $M_{f_1}(r)$, $M_{f_2}(r)$, $M_{f_3}(r)$ etc., to mean $M(r, f)$, $M(M(r, f), g)$, $M(M(M(r, f), g), f)$ etc., respectively and $F(r) = O^*(G(r))$ to mean that there exist two positive constants K_1 and K_2 such that $K_1 \leq \frac{F(r)}{G(r)} \leq K_2$ for any r big enough.

In 2003, Sun [7] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let f_1, f_2 , and g_1, g_2 be four transcendental entire functions with $T(r, f_1) = O^*((\log r)^\nu e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$ and $T(r, g_1) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$.

If $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2)$ and $T(r, g_1) \sim T(r, g_2)$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$), then

$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) \sim T(r, f_2(g_2)) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E),$$

where $\nu > 0$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\beta > 1$ and $\alpha\beta < 1$ and E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

In 2011, Banerjee and Dutta [1] extend Theorem 1.2 for iterated entire functions in the following manner.

Theorem 1.3. Let f, g and u, v be four transcendental entire functions with $T(r, f) \sim T(r, u)$, $T(r, g) \sim T(r, v)$, $T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\nu e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$ ($0 < \alpha < 1, \nu > 0$) and $T(r, g) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ where $\beta > 1$ is a constant, then $T(r, f_n) \sim T(r, u_n)$ for $n \geq 2$, where $u_n(z) = u(v(u(v \dots (u(z) \text{ or } v(z)) \dots)))$ according as n is odd or even.

Theorem 1.4. Let f, g and u, v be four transcendental entire functions with $T(r, f) \sim T(r, u)$, $T(r, g) \sim T(r, v)$, $T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ and $T(r, g) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ where $\beta > 1$ is a constant, then $T(r, f_n) \sim T(r, u_n)$.

In [6], Niino and Suita proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions. If $M(r, g) > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |g(0)|$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then we have

$$T(r, f(g)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) T(M(r, g), f).$$

In particular if $g(0) = 0$, then

$$T(r, f(g)) \leq T(M(r, g), f) \text{ for all } r > 0.$$

As a generalisation of Theorem 1.5, Banerjee and Dutta [1] proved the following.

Theorem 1.6. Let $f(z), g(z)$ be two entire functions. Then we have

$$T(R_2, f) \leq T(r, f_n) \leq T(R_3, f)$$

where $|f(z)| > R_1 > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |f(0)|$ and $|g(z)| > R_2 > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |g(0)|$, $R_3 = \max\{M_{f_{n-1}}(r), M_{g_{n-1}}(r)\}$ for sufficiently large values of r and any integer $n \geq 2$.

After this in [2], Banerjee and Mandal considered three entire functions $f(z)$, $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ and formed the iterations of $f(z)$ with respect to $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1(z) &= f(z) \\
 f_2(z) &= f(g(z)) = f(g_1(z)) \\
 f_3(z) &= f(g(h(z))) = f(g(h_1(z))) = f(g_2(z)) \\
 f_4(z) &= f(g(h(f(z)))) = f(g(h_2(z))) = f(g_3(z)) \\
 &\vdots \\
 f_n(z) &= f(g(h(f..(f(z) \text{ or } g(z) \text{ or } h(z) \text{ according as } n = 3m - 2 \text{ or } 3m - 1 \\
 &\quad \text{or } 3m)...))) \\
 &= f(g_{n-1}(z)) = f(g(h_{n-2}(z))).
 \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1(z) &= g(z) \\
 g_2(z) &= g(h(z)) = g(h_1(z)) \\
 g_3(z) &= g(h(f(z))) = g(h(f_1(z))) = g(h_2(z)) \\
 g_4(z) &= g(h(f(g(z)))) = g(h(f_2(z))) = g(h_3(z)) \\
 &\vdots \\
 g_n(z) &= g(h(f(g...(g(z) \text{ or } h(z) \text{ or } f(z) \text{ according as } n = 3m - 2 \text{ or } 3m - 1 \\
 &\quad \text{or } 3m)...))) \\
 &= g(h_{n-1}(z)) = g(h(f_{n-2}(z))).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_1(z) &= h(z) \\
 h_2(z) &= h(f(z)) = h(f_1(z)) \\
 h_3(z) &= h(f(g(z))) = h(f(g_1(z))) = h(f_2(z)) \\
 h_4(z) &= h(f(g(h(z)))) = h(f(g_2(z))) = h(f_3(z)) \\
 &\vdots \\
 h_n(z) &= h(f(g(h...(h(z) \text{ or } f(z) \text{ or } g(z) \text{ according as } n = 3m - 2 \text{ or } 3m - 1 \\
 &\quad \text{or } 3m)...))) \\
 &= h(f_{n-1}(z)) = h(f(g_{n-2}(z))).
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly f_n , g_n and h_n are all entire functions.

Notation 1.7. For three entire functions $f(z)$, $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ Banerjee and Ghosh [3] used the notations $M_{f_1}(r)$, $M_{f_2}(r)$, $M_{f_3}(r)$, $M_{f_4}(r)$ etc., to mean $M(r, f)$, $M(M(r, f), h)$, $M(M(M(r, f), h), g)$, $M(M(M(M(r, f), h), g), f)$ etc., respectively. Similarly $M_{g_1}(r)$, $M_{g_2}(r)$, $M_{g_3}(r)$, $M_{g_4}(r)$ etc., to mean $M(r, g)$, $M(M(r, g), f)$, $M(M(M(r, g), f), h)$, $M(M(M(M(r, g), f), h), g)$ etc., respectively and $M_{h_1}(r)$, $M_{h_2}(r)$, $M_{h_3}(r)$, $M_{h_4}(r)$ etc., to mean $M(r, h)$, $M(M(r, h), g)$, $M(M(M(r, h), g), f)$, $M(M(M(M(r, h), g), f), h)$ etc., respectively. Also $F(r) = O^*(G(r))$ to mean that there exist two positive constants K_1 and K_2 such that $K_1 \leq \frac{F(r)}{G(r)} \leq K_2$ for any r big enough.

Considering such type of iterations in a recent paper [3], Banerjee and Ghosh extended the result of Banerjee and Dutta [1] in this direction.

Theorem 1.8. Let $f(z)$, $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ be three entire functions. Then we have

$$T(R_2, f) \leq T(r, f_n) \leq T(R_4, f)$$

where $|f(z)| > R_1 > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |f(0)|$, $|g(z)| > R_2 > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |g(0)|$, $|h(z)| > R_3 > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |h(0)|$ and $R_4 = \max\{M_{f_{n-1}}(r), M_{g_{n-1}}(r), M_{h_{n-1}}(r)\}$ for sufficiently large values of r and any integer $n \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.9. Let $f, g, h; u, v, w$ be six transcendental entire functions with $T(r, f) \sim T(r, u)$, $T(r, g) \sim T(r, v)$, $T(r, h) \sim T(r, w)$, $T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\nu e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$ ($0 < \alpha < 1, \nu > 0$), $T(r, g) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ where $\beta > 1$ is a constant and $T(r, h) = O^*((\log r)^\lambda)$ where $\lambda > 1$ is a constant. Then $T(r, f_n) \sim T(r, u_n)$ for $n \geq 3$, where $u_n(z) = u(v(w(u \dots (u(z) \text{ or } v(z) \text{ or } w(z) \dots))))$ according as $n = 3m - 2$ or $3m - 1$ or $3m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1.10. Let $f, g, h; u, v, w$ be six transcendental entire functions with $T(r, f) \sim T(r, u)$, $T(r, g) \sim T(r, v)$, $T(r, h) \sim T(r, w)$, $T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$, $T(r, g) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ and $T(r, h) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ where $\beta > 1$ is a constant. Then $T(r, f_n) \sim T(r, u_n)$ for $n \geq 3$, where $u_n(z) = u(v(w(u \dots (u(z) \text{ or } v(z) \text{ or } w(z) \dots))))$ according as $n = 3m - 2$ or $3m - 1$ or $3m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

At the present paper we consider k entire functions $f_1(z)$, $f_2(z)$, $f_3(z)$, ..., $f_k(z)$ and following the iteration process of Banerjee and Ghosh [4] (defined below) generalise the result of Sun [7].

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1^1(z) &= f_1(z) \\
 F_2^1(z) &= f_1(f_2(z)) = f_1(F_1^2(z)) \\
 F_3^1(z) &= f_1(f_2(f_3(z))) = f_1(f_2(F_1^3(z))) = f_1(F_2^2(z)) \\
 &\vdots \\
 F_k^1(z) &= f_1(f_2(\dots f_k(z))) = f_1(F_{k-1}^2(z)) = f_1(f_2(F_{k-2}^3(z))) \\
 &= \dots = f_1(f_2(\dots f_{k-1}(F_1^k(z)))) \\
 &\vdots \\
 F_n^1(z) &= f_1(f_2(f_3(\dots(f_1(z) \text{ or } f_2(z) \text{ or...or } f_k(z) \text{ according} \\
 \text{as } n &= km - (k-1) \text{ or } km - (k-2) \text{ or ...or } km\dots))) \\
 &= f_1(F_{n-1}^2(z)) \\
 &= f_1(f_2(F_{n-2}^3(z))) \\
 &= \dots \\
 &= f_1(f_2(\dots(f_{k-1}(F_{n-(k-1)}^k(z))))) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1^2(z) &= f_2(z) \\
 F_2^2(z) &= f_2(f_3(z)) = f_2(F_1^3(z)) \\
 F_3^2(z) &= f_2(f_3(f_4(z))) = f_2(f_3(F_1^4(z))) = f_2(F_2^2(z)) \\
 &\vdots \\
 F_k^2(z) &= f_2(f_3(\dots f_k(f_1(z)))) = f_2(F_{k-1}^3(z)) = \dots \\
 &= f_2(f_3(\dots f_k(F_1^1(z)))) \\
 &\vdots \\
 F_n^2(z) &= f_2(f_3(f_4(\dots(f_2(z) \text{ or } f_3(z) \text{ or ...or } f_k(z) \text{ or } f_1(z) \text{ according} \\
 \text{as } n &= km - (k-1) \text{ or } km - (k-2) \text{ or ...or } (km-1) \text{ or } km\dots))) \\
 &= f_2(F_{n-1}^3(z)) \\
 &= f_2(f_3(F_{n-2}^4(z))) \\
 &= \dots \\
 &= f_2(f_3(\dots(f_k(F_{n-(k-1)}^1(z))))) .
 \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1^k(z) &= f_k(z) \\
F_2^k(z) &= f_k(f_1(z)) = f_k(F_1^1(z)) \\
F_3^k(z) &= f_k(f_1(f_2(z))) = f_k(f_1(F_1^2(z))) = f_k(F_2^1(z)) \\
&\vdots \\
F_k^k(z) &= f_k(f_1(\dots f_{k-2}(f_{k-1}(z)))) = \dots \\
&= f_k(f_1(\dots f_{k-2}(F_1^{k-1}(z)))) \\
&\vdots \\
F_n^k(z) &= f_k(f_1(f_2\dots(f_k(z) \text{ or } f_1(z) \text{ or ...or } f_{k-1}(z) \text{ according} \\
\text{as } n &= km - (k-1) \text{ or } km - (k-2) \text{ or ...or } km\dots)) \\
&= f_k(F_{n-1}^1(z)) \\
&= f_k(f_1(F_{n-2}^2(z))) \\
&= \dots \\
&= f_k(f_1(\dots(f_{k-2}(F_{n-(k-1)}^{k-1}(z))))).
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly all $F_n^1, F_n^2, \dots, F_n^k$ are entire functions.

Notation 1.11. Let $f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_k(z)$ be entire functions. We use the notations $M_{F_1^1}(r), M_{F_2^1}(r), M_{F_3^1}(r), \dots, M_{F_k^1}(r)$ etc., to mean $M(r, f_1), M(M(r, f_1), f_k), M(M(M(r, f_1), f_k), f_{k-1}), \dots, M(\dots(M(M(M(r, f_1), f_k), f_{k-1}), \dots), f_2)$ etc., respectively. Similarly we use the notations $M_{F_1^2}(r), M_{F_2^2}(r), M_{F_3^2}(r), \dots, M_{F_k^2}(r)$ etc., to mean $M(r, f_2), M(M(r, f_2), f_1), M(M(M(r, f_2), f_1), f_k), \dots, M(\dots(M(M(M(r, f_2), f_1), f_k), \dots), f_3)$ etc., respectively and proceeding in this way we use the notations $M_{F_1^k}(r), M_{F_2^k}(r), M_{F_3^k}(r), \dots, M_{F_4^k}(r)$ etc., to mean $M(r, f_k), M(M(r, f_k), f_{k-1}), M(M(M(r, f_k), f_{k-1}), f_{k-2}), \dots, M(\dots(M(M(M(r, f_k), f_{k-1}), f_{k-2}), \dots), f_1)$ etc., respectively and $F(r) = O^*(G(r))$ to mean that there exist two positive constants K_1 and K_2 such that $K_1 \leq \frac{F(r)}{G(r)} \leq K_2$ for any r big enough.

2. LEMMAS

Lemma 2.1. [6] Let $g(z)$ and $f(z)$ be two entire functions. Suppose that $|g(z)| > R > |g(0)|$ on the circumference $\{|z| = r\}$ for some $r > 0$. Then we have

$$T(r, f(g)) \geq \frac{R - |g(0)|}{R + |g(0)|} T(R, f).$$

Lemma 2.2. [7] Let f be a transcendental entire function with

$$T(r, f) = O^* \left((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha} \right) \quad (0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > 0).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\sim \log M(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E) \quad \text{and} \\ T(\sigma r, f) &\sim T(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \sigma \geq 2, r \notin E), \end{aligned}$$

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

Lemma 2.3. [1] Let f be a transcendental entire function with $T(r, f) = O^* \left((\log r)^\beta \right)$ where $\beta > 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\sim \log M(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E) \quad \text{and} \\ T(\sigma r, f) &\sim T(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \sigma \geq 2, r \notin E), \end{aligned}$$

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

Lemma 2.4. [1] Let f_1 and f_2 be two entire functions with $T(r, f_1) = O^* \left((\log r)^\nu e^{(\log r)^\alpha} \right)$ where $\nu > 1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2)$ then $M(r, f_1) \sim M(r, f_2)$.

Lemma 2.5. [1] Let f_1 and f_2 be two entire functions with $T(r, f_1) = O^* \left((\log r)^\beta \right)$ where $\beta > 1$ and $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2)$ then $M(r, f_1) \sim M(r, f_2)$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k be k entire functions. Then we have

$$(3.1) \quad T(R_2, f_1) \leq T(r, F_n^1) \leq T(R_{k+1}, f_1)$$

where $|f_i(z)| > R_i > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |f_i(0)|$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and

$R_{k+1} = \max \left\{ M_{F_{n-1}^1}(r), M_{F_{n-1}^2}(r), \dots, M_{F_{n-1}^k}(r), M_{F_{n-1}^k}(r) \right\}$ for sufficiently large values of r and any integer $n \geq k$.

Proof. We first prove the 2nd inequality. By Theorem 1.5 and for $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary small we have when $n = km$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F_n^1) &= T(r, F_{n-1}^1(f_k)) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon) T(M(r, f_k), F_{n-1}^1) \\
&= (1 + \varepsilon) T(M_{F_1^k}(r), F_{n-2}^1(f_{k-1})) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 T(M_{F_2^k}(r), F_{n-3}^1(f_{k-2})) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^3 T(M_{F_3^k}(r), F_{n-4}^1(f_{k-3})) \\
&= (1 + \varepsilon)^4 T(M_{F_4^k}(r), F_{n-4}^1) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} T(M_{F_{n-1}^k}(r), f_1) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} T(R_{k+1}, f_1).
\end{aligned}$$

When $n = km - 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F_n^1) &= T(r, F_{n-1}^1(f_{k-1})) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon) T(M(r, f_{k-1}), F_{n-1}^1) \\
&= (1 + \varepsilon) T(M_{F_1^{k-1}}(r), F_{n-2}^1(f_{k-2})) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 T(M_{F_2^{k-1}}(r), F_{n-3}^1(f_{k-3})) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^3 T(M_{F_3^{k-1}}(r), F_{n-4}^1(f_{k-4})) \\
&= (1 + \varepsilon)^4 T(M_{F_4^{k-1}}(r), F_{n-4}^1) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} T(M_{F_{n-1}^{k-1}}(r), f_1) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} T(R_{k+1}, f_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $n = km - (k - 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F_n^1) &= T(r, F_{n-1}^1(f_1)) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon) T(M(r, f_1), F_{n-1}^1) \\
&= (1 + \varepsilon) T(M_{F_1^1}(r), F_{n-2}^1(f_k)) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 T(M_{F_2^1}(r), F_{n-3}^1(f_{k-1})) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^3 T(M_{F_3^1}(r), F_{n-4}^1(f_{k-2})) \\
&= (1 + \varepsilon)^4 T(M_{F_4^1}(r), F_{n-4}^1) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} T(M_{F_{n-1}^1}(r), f_1) \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} T(R_{k+1}, f_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $T(r, F_n^1) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} T(R_{k+1}, f_1)$ for any integer $n \geq k$.

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, for sufficiently large values of r we have

$$(3.2) \quad T(r, F_n^1) \leq T(R_{k+1}, f_1).$$

We now prove the 1st inequality by using Lemma 2.1.

When $n = km$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F_n^1) &= T(r, F_{n-1}^1(f_k)) \\
&\geq \frac{R_k - |f_k(0)|}{R_k + |f_k(0)|} T(R_k, F_{n-1}^1) \\
&> (1 - \varepsilon) T(R_k, F_{n-2}^1(f_{k-1})), \text{ since } R_k > \frac{2 + \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |f_k(0)| > \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |f_k(0)| \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{R_{k-1} - |f_{k-1}(0)|}{R_{k-1} + |f_{k-1}(0)|} T(R_{k-1}, F_{n-2}^1) \\
&> (1 - \varepsilon)^2 T(R_{k-1}, F_{n-2}^1) \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^3 T(R_{k-2}, F_{n-3}^1) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^{n-2} T(R_3, f_1(f_2)) \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^{n-1} T(R_2, f_1).
\end{aligned}$$

When $n = km - 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F_n^1) &= T(r, F_{n-1}^1(f_{k-1})) \\
&\geq \frac{R_{k-1} - |f_{k-1}(0)|}{R_{k-1} + |f_{k-1}(0)|} T(R_{k-1}, F_{n-1}^1) \\
&> (1 - \varepsilon) T(R_{k-1}, F_{n-2}^1(f_{k-2})) \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{R_{k-2} - |f_{k-2}(0)|}{R_{k-2} + |f_{k-2}(0)|} T(R_{k-2}, F_{n-2}^1) \\
&> (1 - \varepsilon)^2 T(R_{k-2}, F_{n-2}^1) \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^3 T(R_{k-3}, F_{n-3}^1) \\
&\vdots \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^{n-2} T(R_3, f_1(f_2)) \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^{n-1} T(R_2, f_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $n = km - (k - 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F_n^1) &= T(r, F_{n-1}^1(f_1)) \\
&\geq \frac{R_1 - |f_1(0)|}{R_1 + |f_1(0)|} T(R_1, F_{n-1}^1) \\
&> (1 - \varepsilon) T(R_1, F_{n-2}^1(f_k)) \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{R_k - |f_k(0)|}{R_k + |f_k(0)|} T(R_k, F_{n-2}^1) \\
&> (1 - \varepsilon)^2 T(R_k, F_{n-2}^1) \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^3 T(R_{k-1}, F_{n-3}^1) \\
&\vdots \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^{n-2} T(R_3, f_1(f_2)) \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^{n-1} T(R_2, f_1).
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$T(r, F_n^1) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)^{n-1} T(R_2, f_1).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have for sufficiently large values of r

$$(3.3) \quad T(r, F_n^1) \geq T(R_2, f_1).$$

Hence from (3.2) and (3.3), we have (3.1).

This proves the theorem. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k and u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k be $2k$ transcendental entire functions with $T(r, f_i) \sim T(r, u_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $T(r, f_1) = O^* \left((\log r)^\nu e^{(\log r)^{\beta_1}} \right)$ ($0 < \beta_1 < 1, \nu > 1$) and $T(r, f_i) = O^* \left((\log r)^{\beta_i} \right)$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$, where each $\beta_i > 1$ is a constant. Also let $R'_l \geq 2R_l$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, k$ for $|f_i(z)| > R_i > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |f_i(0)|$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$; $|u_j(z)| > R'_j > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |u_j(0)|$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then $T(r, F_n^1) \sim T(r, U_n^1)$ for $n \geq k$ where $U_n^1(z) = u_1(u_2(u_3(\dots(u_1(z) \text{ or } u_2(z) \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } u_k(z) \dots))))$ according as $n = km - 1$ or $km - (k - 2)$ or ... or km , $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We have from Theorem 3.1

$$T(R_2, f_1) \leq T(r, F_n^1) \leq T(R_{k+1}, f_1) \quad (3.4)$$

$$\text{and } T(R'_2, u_1) \leq T(r, U_n^1) \leq T(R'_{k+1}, u_1) \quad (3.5)$$

where $R_{k+1} = \max \left\{ M_{F_{n-1}^1}(r), M_{F_{n-1}^2}(r), \dots, M_{F_{n-1}^k}(r) \right\}$ and $R'_{k+1} = \max \left\{ M_{U_{n-1}^1}(r), M_{U_{n-1}^2}(r), \dots, M_{U_{n-1}^k}(r) \right\}$ for sufficiently large values of r . Also by Lemma 2.2,

$$T(R_2, f_1) \sim T(R'_2, f_1).$$

Since

$$T(R'_2, f_1) \sim T(R'_2, u_1) \quad \text{so}$$

$$(3.6) \quad T(R_2, f_1) \sim T(R'_2, u_1) \quad (R_2 \rightarrow \infty, R_2 \notin E)$$

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

Using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$M(r, f_1) \sim M(r, u_1).$$

Also using Lemma 2.5 we have

$$M(M(r, f_1), f_k) \sim M(M(r, u_1), u_k) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

$$\text{Similarly } M(M(M(r, f_1), f_k), f_{k-1}) \sim M(M(M(r, u_1), u_k), u_{k-1}) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

So for $n = km - (k - 1)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(3.7) \quad M_{F_{n-1}^1}(r) \sim M_{U_{n-1}^1}(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

Similarly for $n = km - (k - 2)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(3.8) \quad M_{F_{n-1}^2}(r) \sim M_{U_{n-1}^2}(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

⋮

And for $n = km$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(3.9) \quad M_{F_{n-1}^k}(r) \sim M_{U_{n-1}^k}(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

From (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) and for $n \geq k$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain $R_{k+1} \sim R'_{k+1}$ for large r .

Since $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, u_1)$ so we have

$$(3.10) \quad T(R_{k+1}, f_1) \sim T(R'_{k+1}, u_1) .$$

So from (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.10) we get

$$T(r, F_n^1) \sim T(r, U_n^1) .$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k and u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k be $2k$ transcendental entire functions with $T(r, f_i) \sim T(r, u_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $T(r, f_i) = O^*(\log r)^{\beta_i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k$, where each $\beta_i > 1$ is a constant. Also let $R'_l \geq 2R_l$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, k$ for $|f_i(z)| > R_i > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |f_i(0)|$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$; $|u_j(z)| > R'_j > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |u_j(0)|$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then $T(r, F_n^1) \sim T(r, U_n^1)$ for $n \geq k$ where $U_n^1(z) = u_1(u_2(u_3(\dots(u_1(z) \text{ or } u_2(z) \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } u_k(z) \dots))))$ according as $n = km - 1$ or $km - (k - 2)$ or ... or km , $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Under the given hypothesis here also we obtain the same inequalities (3.4) and (3.5) of Theorem 3.2

Also by Lemma 2.3,

$$T(R_2, f_1) \sim T(R'_2, f_1) .$$

Since

$$T(R'_2, f_1) \sim T(R'_2, u_1) \text{ so}$$

$$(3.11) \quad T(R_2, f_1) \sim T(R'_2, u_1) \quad (R_2 \rightarrow \infty, R_2 \notin E)$$

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

Now using Lemma 2.5, we have

$$M(r, f_1) \sim M(r, u_1) .$$

So

$$M(M(r, f_1), f_k) \sim M(M(r, u_1), u_k) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty) .$$

$$\text{Similarly } M(M(M(r, f_1), f_k), f_{k-1}) \sim M(M(M(r, u_1), u_k), u_{k-1}) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty) .$$

So for $n = km - (k - 1)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(3.12) \quad M_{F_{n-1}^1}(r) \sim M_{U_{n-1}^1}(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty) .$$

Similarly for $n = km - (k - 2)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(3.13) \quad M_{F_{n-1}^2}(r) \sim M_{U_{n-1}^2}(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty) .$$

⋮

And for $n = km$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(3.14) \quad M_{F_{n-1}^k}(r) \sim M_{U_{n-1}^k}(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty) .$$

From (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) and for $n \geq k$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain $R_{k+1} \sim R'_{k+1}$ for large r . Since $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, u_1)$ so we have

$$(3.15) \quad T(R_{k+1}, f_1) \sim T(R'_{k+1}, u_1) .$$

So from (3.4), (3.5), (3.11) and (3.15) we get

$$T(r, F_n^1) \sim T(r, U_n^1) .$$

This completes the proof. \square

Note 3.4. *The conditions of Theorem 3.3 are not strictly sharp. Which are illustrated by the following example.*

Example 3.5. *Let $f_1(z) = e^z$, $f_2(z) = 2z$, $f_3(z) = 3z$, $f_4(z) = 4z, \dots, f_k(z) = kz$ and $u_1(z) = 2e^z$, $u_2(z) = 4z$, $u_3(z) = 6z$, $u_4(z) = 8z, \dots, u_k(z) = 2kz$. Here*

$$\begin{aligned} F_k^1(z) &= f_1(f_2(f_3(\dots(f_k(z))))) \\ &= f_1(f_2(f_3(\dots(kz)))) \\ &= f_1(k!z) \\ &= e^{k!z} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U_k^1(z) &= u_1(u_2(u_3(\dots(u_k(z))))) \\ &= u_1(u_2(u_3(\dots(2kz)))) \\ &= u_1(2^{k-1} \cdot k!z) \\ &= 2e^{2^{k-1} \cdot k!z} . \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f_1) &= \frac{r}{\pi}, \quad T(r, u_1) = \frac{r}{\pi} + \log 2 \\ T(r, f_2) &= \log r + \log 2, \quad T(r, u_2) = \log r + \log 4 \\ T(r, f_3) &= \log r + \log 3, \quad T(r, u_3) = \log r + \log 6 \\ &\vdots \\ T(r, f_k) &= \log r + \log k, \quad T(r, u_k) = \log r + \log 2k . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$T(r, f_k) \sim T(r, u_k) \text{ for each } k \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty .$$

But since $T(r, F_k^1) = \frac{r}{k!\pi}$ and $T(r, U_k^1) = \frac{r}{2^{k-1} \cdot k!\pi} + \log 2$,

so

$$T(r, F_k^1) \not\sim T(r, U_k^1) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty .$$

Therefore $T(r, F_n^1) \sim T(r, U_n^1)$ does not hold for $n = k$. Here $f_i(z)$ and $u_i(z)$ for $i \neq 1$ are not transcendental and also $T(r, f_1) \neq O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ where $\beta > 1$ is a constant.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Banerjee and R.K. Dutta, Growth of a class of iterated entire functions, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 3 (1) (2011), 77 – 88.
- [2] D. Banerjee and B. Mandal, Relative fix points of a certain class of complex functions, Istanbul Univ. Sci. Fac. J. Math. Phys. Astr. 6 (2015) , 15 – 25.
- [3] D. Banerjee and S. Ghosh, A study on growth of iterated entire functions, accepted in Palestine Journal of Mathematics.
- [4] D. Banerjee and S. Ghosh, Study on growth of k-iterated entire functions, South East Asian J. Math. Math. Sci. 16 (1) , (2020) , 189 – 206.
- [5] B.K. Lahiri and D. Banerjee, Relative fix points of entire functions, J. Indian Acad. Math.,19 (1) (1997) , 87 – 97.
- [6] K. Niino and N. Suita, Growth of composite function of entire functions, Kodai Math. J. 3 (1980) , 374 – 379.
- [7] J. Sun, Growth of a class of composite entire functions, Acta Math. Vietnamica, 28 (2) (2003) , 175 – 183.